



## Mass Point Vehicles Control

- Vehicles modeled as mass points
- Control inputs forces to achieve desired spacing

- Kinetic energy shaping by splitting velocity vectors

- Potential energy shaping for position stability

- Dissipation for asymptotic stability
- Overall control law

$$D = \frac{\gamma}{2} m_1 m_2 (\epsilon v_q)^T (\epsilon v_q)$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{kin}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{diss}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{pot}}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_{\text{pot}} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \\ \mathbf{F}_{\text{diss}} = -\frac{\partial D}{\partial \mathbf{v}_q} \end{cases}$$

## Energy-Based Controller for Nonholonomic Vehicles

Nonholonomic models

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \psi_1 & \cos \psi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \psi_1 & \sin \psi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ R & -R & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \psi_2 & \cos \psi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \psi_2 & \sin \psi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & R & -R \end{bmatrix}$$

- Generation of velocities satisfying nonholonomic constraints
- Modified kinetic energy shaping using Lagrange multipliers
- Modified dissipation

- Control law:  $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{G}_r \dot{\mathbf{n}}$
- The controller can be understood in terms of energy contributions
- Stability can be checked using the total energy as a Lyapunov function candidate
- The consideration of nonholonomic constraints can be easily accomplished in the Lagrange framework

$$\Delta_q \ni \mathbf{v}_q = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial K}{\partial \mathbf{v}_q} \right) - \frac{\partial K}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{C} \Lambda + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{U}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial K_\alpha}{\partial \mathbf{v}_q} \right) - \frac{\partial K_\alpha}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{C} \Lambda + \mathbf{F}_{\text{diss}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{pot}}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{diss}} = -\gamma \mathbf{G} \epsilon^T \epsilon \mathbf{S} \mathbf{n}$$

## Network Model

Vehicles' communication over WLAN

- Chanel modeled with a Rayleigh distribution

$$f(\tau, \mu) = \frac{\tau}{\mu^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau^2}{2\mu^2}\right)$$

- Spacing is calculated using only transmitted information
- Ethernet with UDP protocol (no retransmitting)
- Network model can not be considered in the energy-based controller design

## Simulation Results

- Desired spacing is 3m
- Initialization with velocity and position errors
- Stable behavior up to 200ms observed
- Random delays are simulated with and without constant component
- The presence of random delay deteriorates the controller performance
- The sensibility to the time delays is due to the model based approach
- Sensor fusion using spacing sensors can improve performance

Spacing for nominal case and with constant time delay

Spacing for random time delays (also with constant component)